

RESOLUTION NO. 2006- 07

RESOLUTION OF THE LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION OF THE COUNTY OF SUTTER MAKING DETERMINATIONS AND APPROVING A MUNICIPAL SERVICE REVIEW AND SPHERE OF INFLUENCE UPDATE FOR SUTTER COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, RIO RAMAZA COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREAS "C", "D", "F", "G"

**Recitals**

1. The Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000 requires each Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) in every County to update spheres of influence every five years pursuant with Section 56425(g).
2. The Act requires LAFCOs to conduct a municipal service review prior to or concurrently with updating an agency's sphere of influence and at the same time make nine written statements of determination with respect to each of the following:
  - a. Infrastructure needs or deficiencies.
  - b. Growth and population projections for the affected area.
  - c. Financing constraints and opportunities.
  - d. Cost avoidance opportunities.
  - e. Opportunities for rate restructuring.
  - f. Opportunities for shared facilities.
  - g. Government structure options, including advantages and disadvantages of consolidation or reorganization of service providers.
  - h. Evaluation of management efficiencies.
  - i. Local accountability and governance.
3. On July 28, 2005, the Sutter County Local Agency Formation Commission adopted Resolution No. 2005-05, initiating municipal service review and sphere of influence updates for all cities and districts in Sutter County requiring such reviews.
4. On August 24, 2006, the Commission reviewed and considered the Executive Officer's report, and the Rules of Procedure for the Sutter County Local Agency Formation Commission, as amended April 24, 2003, conducted a public meeting at which it heard and received all oral and written comments, evidence, and objections which were made, presented, or filed regarding the municipal service review and sphere of influence update. All persons present were given an opportunity to hear and be heard.

The LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION OF THE COUNTY OF SUTTER resolves, determines, orders, and finds as follows:

Section 1. The Commission has determined the municipal service review study is not a project subject to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). To the extent that it may be so considered, it is not subject to CEQA by virtue of two applicable exemptions. First, the municipal service review and sphere of influence update is Categorical Exempt from CEQA pursuant with Section 15306 of the Guidelines which exempts basic data collection, research, experimental management, and resource evaluation activities which do not result in a serious or major disturbance to an environmental resource. This exemption may be used strictly for information gathering purposes, or as part of a study leading to an action which a public agency (LAFCO) has not yet approved, adopted or funded. The information gathered for the municipal service review will not have an effect upon an environmental resource. The sphere of influence update will validate the boundaries of existing district spheres and no changes to those spheres of influence will occur. Therefore, no environmental impacts will result and the Executive Officer is directed to file a Categorical Exemption for this proposal.

Second, the Commission has determined that the municipal service review and sphere of influence update is also covered by the general rule of CEQA, Section 15061b(3) of the CEQA Guidelines that states that CEQA only applies to projects that have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment. Where it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment, the activity is not subject to CEQA. This municipal service review evaluates current operations and does not propose any changes of organization or reorganization to any of the aforementioned districts. In addition, the districts' spheres of influence will remain unchanged. As a result, the municipal service review and sphere of influence update will not have any impact upon the environment and therefore is not subject to CEQA.

Section 2. The Commission adopts and incorporates by reference the Executive Officer's Report and its attachments and appendices, as its Statement of Reasons for the municipal service review and sphere of influence update.

Section 3. In accordance with Government Code Section 56430, LAFCO makes the following written determinations for the municipal service review for the Sutter Community Service District, Rio Ramaza Community Service District, and County Service Areas "C", "D", "F", "G".

**Sutter Community Service District**

1. **Infrastructure needs or deficiencies determination:** Sutter CSD has sufficient water resources to accommodate projected service demands over the next 10 years and beyond.
2. **Growth and population projections for the affected area determination:** Sutter CSD has sufficient infrastructure planning, financial commitment, and practices to ensure that infrastructure and capital facilities can be maintained within the planning period of 5 years.
3. **Financing constraints and opportunities determination:** The District is recovering its annual costs through the rate structure it charges its customers. The District has issued two bonds. The largest is a 32-year, \$4.6 million debt issuance for infrastructure improvements. The District has been paying its annual debt service for all its debt, and has retired one smaller issue that has matured. An annual assessment on property owners has been levied to pay the debt. Currently, the District is debt free.
4. **Cost avoidance opportunities determination:** The Sutter CSD appears to utilize available cost reduction measures in its operations, as related to sharing of facilities, knowledge, equipment, and personnel. Therefore, there are no outstanding opportunities identified to significantly avoid current operating costs.
5. **Opportunities for rate restructuring determination:** Sutter CSD is funded by a combination of water meter fees, water usage fees, late charges, and use fees that purchase t-bills with the highest interest rate that fund operation of the District. Hook-up fees will be used to purchase a water tank. The District operates under the Community Services District Act and does not generate a profit. The District is financially sound, with sufficient stable revenues to cover expenses and operations. There were no opportunities for rate restructuring identified in the municipal services review. The District imposes metered rates that capture revenues based on consumption levels by customers. This structure is used to offset the commensurate cost of operations. There have been rate increases in each of the past five years to recover operating costs.
6. **Opportunities for shared facilities determination:** Sutter CSD owns its own buildings and property. While the District utilizes a storage space for its maintenance equipment, there is not room for other equipment or capital from other districts or agencies to be stored there. However, the District has identified an opportunity for other public groups to use the proposed meeting hall.

7. **Government structure options, including advantages and disadvantages of consolidation or reorganization of service provider's determination:** The structure of the Sutter CSD needs no changes. There has been no interest expressed by the Sutter Community Services District to merge with any other district or alter the governmental structure as currently practiced.
8. **Evaluation of management efficiencies determination:** Sutter CSD does not appear to be in conflict with any regulatory legislation regarding government management. Combining the positions of General Manager, Secretary and Treasurer is permitted pursuant to Government Code § 61240. The overall management structure of the District is sufficient to account for necessary services and maintain operations in an efficient and effective manner. Therefore, the District's management is currently operating competently.
9. **Local accountability and governance determination:** Sutter CSD Board of Directors are elected by the people within the District and are therefore accountable to the citizens of the District. All District meetings are conducted in accordance with Brown Act procedures, and the District operates within the Community Services District Act guidelines. There are sufficient opportunities for local involvement in District activities, and information regarding the District is readily available to members of the public.

#### **Rio Ramaza Community Service District**

1. **Growth and population projections for the affected area determination:** The Rio Ramaza CSD has ample ability to provide the existing residents with sewer service until its dissolution. The population is expected to decrease to zero as the Sacramento International Airport purchases all of the parcels and the District is dissolved.
2. **Infrastructure needs or deficiencies determination:** The Rio Ramaza CSD's infrastructure is currently adequate to serve the area. The District does not appear to have any deficiencies that would prevent it from providing service to the area between the current time and the time of dissolution.
3. **Financing constraints and opportunities determination:** Rio Ramaza CSD's revenues from the customers are not adequate to provide sewer services to the service area. Fees from nine residents fall short and the burden of payment for district operations is shifted to the County.
4. **Cost avoidance opportunities determination:** According to the fiscal year 2005-06 for Sutter County, the Rio Ramaza CSD appears to operate efficiently with its revenues. There was no cost avoidance opportunities discovered through this Municipal Service Review. There have been no known audits of the Rio

Ramaza CSD. The District is funded by secured and unsecured property taxes, interest on the fund balance, State homeowner taxes, and user fees.

5. **Opportunities for rate restructuring determination:** There were no opportunities for rate restructuring identified while conducting the municipal service review.
6. **Opportunities for shared facilities determination:** There were no opportunities identified for shared facilities with regard to the Rio Ramaza CSD. This, in part, is due to the eminent closure of the facilities upon total purchase of the remaining parcels by the Sacramento International Airport.
7. **Government structure options, including advantages and disadvantages of consolidation or reorganization of service providers determination:** The Sutter County Board of Supervisors is the governing body of the Rio Ramaza CSD and there are no plans to change the governmental structure already in effect. The dissolution process for the District will be processed according to California Government Code §57450-57463.
8. **Evaluation of management efficiencies determination:** The Rio Ramaza CSD appears to operate efficiently and to provide adequate sewer service to the service area.
9. **Local accountability and governance determination:** The Rio Ramaza CSD is run by the members of the Sutter County Board of Supervisors. The District operations appear to be consistent with California Government Code §61000-61850. County staff and District residents can bring concerns and issues to the Board at the weekly meetings. The Board members can also be contacted by the public via the Internet on the Sutter County homepage.

### **Sutter County Service Area “C”**

1. **Growth and population projections for the affected area determination:** CSA C has sufficient ability to provide the existing residents with fire protection services. The current growth rate is slow at around 0.01% annually and this rate is likely to continue unless major rezoning of agricultural land occurs. However, if the population substantially increases, so may the need for staffing, facilities, and equipment.
2. **Infrastructure needs or deficiencies determination:** CSA C maintains adequate infrastructure and facilities to serve its residents. The Rio Oso fire station and one of the type-three engines are in poor condition and may need renovations and replacements in order to provide the highest quality service in the service area. Also, the County should continue with its efforts to preserve the volunteer firefighter force and encourage the addition of more volunteers.

3. **Financing constraints and opportunities determination:** CSA C does not charge user fees as a basis for its revenues. However, consideration might be given to land-based financing such as a Mello Roos CFD or assessment fee to help pay for fire services and facilities. CSA C appears to have adequate revenues to pay for the services it provides. Slow residential development leaves little opportunity for substantial additional property tax revenue.
4. **Cost avoidance opportunities determination:** CSA C funds are restricted to the budgetary constraints and all excess funds are deposited into reserves. Also, the CSA relies heavily on volunteer firefighters, which eliminates labor costs.
5. **Opportunities for rate restructuring determination:** According to Fire Chief, Chuck Vanevenhoven, an opportunity for rate restructuring that would benefit the service area would be a special tax when it is determined that it is needed. Also, as revenue becomes available the County should ensure that equipment can be replaced according to the apparatus replacement schedule.
6. **Opportunities for shared facilities determination:** CSA C provides automatic/mutual aid outside their legal boundaries and receives automatic/mutual aid within their boundaries from the other CSA's and other fire agencies in the area.
7. **Government structure options determinations:** The Sutter County Board of Supervisors is the governing body of CSA C and the Chief is the supervisor for the firefighters. There are no opportunities to change the governmental structure already in effect.
8. **Evaluation of management efficiencies determination:** CSA C appears to operate efficiently and to provide adequate fire protection service to the service area. Due to slow population growth, no major changes in management are needed at this time. But maintaining the volunteer firefighter force should be a priority.
9. **Local accountability determination:** The members of the Sutter County Board of Supervisors oversee CSA C. The service area operations appear to be consistent with the law. County staff and CSA residents can bring concerns and issues to the Board at the weekly meetings. The public can also contact the Board members and the Fire Chief via the Internet on the Sutter County homepage. Other opportunities for accountability include suggestion forms, complaint forms, and evaluation forms for residents as well as peer evaluations for firefighters.

**Sutter County Service Area “D”**

1. **Growth and population projections for the affected area determination:** CSA D has sufficient ability to provide the existing residents with fire protection services. The current growth rate is slow at around 0.01% annually and this rate is likely to continue unless major rezoning of agricultural land occurs. With the approval of Advisory Measure M, the population is likely to increase substantially. With this new growth, staffing, facility, and equipment needs would need to increase.
2. **Infrastructure needs or deficiencies determination:** Currently, CSA D maintains adequate infrastructure and facilities to serve its residents. If the population increases due to Advisory Measure M, facilities and equipment would need to be updated accordingly. The County should continue with its efforts to preserve the volunteer firefighting force and encourage the addition of more volunteers.
3. **Financing constraints and opportunities determination:** CSA D does not charge user fees as a basis for its revenues. However, consideration might be given to land based financing such as a Mello Roos CFD or assessment fee to help pay for fire services and facilities. CSA D appears to have adequate revenues to pay for the services it provides.
4. **Cost avoidance opportunities determination:** CSA D funds are restricted to the budgetary constraints and all excess funds are deposited into reserves. Also, the service area relies heavily on volunteer firefighters, which eliminates labor costs.
5. **Opportunities for rate restructuring determination:** An opportunity for rate restructuring that would benefit the service area would be a special tax when it is determined that it's needed. Also, as revenues become available the County should ensure that equipment can be replaced according to the apparatus replacement schedule. With implementation of Advisory Measure M, there would exist an opportunity to restructure rates according to the new growth.
6. **Opportunities for shared facilities determination:** CSA D provides automatic/mutual aid outside their legal boundaries and receives automatic/mutual aid within their boundaries from the other CSA's and other fire agencies in the area.
7. **Government structure options determinations:** The Sutter County Board of Supervisors is the governing body of CSA D and the Chief is the supervisor for the firefighters. There are no opportunities to change the governmental structure already in affect.

8. **Evaluation of management efficiencies determination:** CSA D appears to operate efficiently and to provide adequate fire protection service to the service area. But maintaining the volunteer firefighter force should be a priority. With implementation of Advisory Measure M, there would exist an opportunity to restructure management in order to accommodate the new growth.
9. **Local accountability determination:** The members of the Sutter County Board of Supervisors run CSA D. The service area operations appear to be consistent with the law. County staff and CSA residents can bring concerns and issues to the Board at the weekly meetings. The public can also contact the Board members and the Fire Chief via the Internet on the Sutter County homepage. Other opportunities for accountability include suggestion forms, complaint forms, and evaluation forms for residents as well as peer evaluations for firefighters.

#### **Sutter County Service Area “F”**

1. **Growth and population projections for the affected area determination:** CSA F has sufficient ability to provide the existing residents with fire protection services. The current growth rate is slow at around 0.02% annually and this rate is likely to continue, especially outside of the City due to the high percentage of agricultural land use. As the urban and suburban land uses continue to increase inside the City limits, staffing, facility, and equipment needs would have to increase as well.
2. **Infrastructure needs or deficiencies determination:** CSA F maintains adequate infrastructure and facilities to serve its residents. The type-three engines and the water tender are in poor condition for all of CSA F stations and may need renovations and replacements in order to provide the highest quality service in the service area. Also, the County should continue with its efforts to preserve the volunteer firefighter force and encourage the addition of more volunteers.
3. **Financing constraints and opportunities determination:** CSA F does not charge user fees as a basis for its revenues; the primary source of funding is property taxes. However, consideration might be given to land based financing such as a Mello Roos CFD or assessment fee to help pay for fire services and facilities. CSA F appears to have adequate revenues to pay for the services it provides.
4. **Cost avoidance opportunities determination:** CSA F funds are restricted to the budgetary constraints and all excess funds are deposited into reserves. Also, the CSA relies heavily on volunteer firefighters, which reduces labor costs.
5. **Opportunities for rate restructuring determination:** According to Fire Chief, Chuck Vanevenhoven, an opportunity for rate restructuring that would benefit the

service area would be an increase in the special tax when it is determined that it's needed. Also, as revenue becomes available the County ensure that equipment can be replaced according to the apparatus replacement schedule.

6. **Opportunities for shared facilities determination:** CSA F provides automatic/mutual aid outside their legal boundaries and receives automatic/mutual aid within their boundaries from the other CSA's and other fire agencies in the area.
7. **Government structure options determinations:** The Sutter County Board of Supervisors is the governing body of CSA F and the Chief is the supervisor for the firefighters. There are no plans to change the governmental structure already in affect.
8. **Evaluation of management efficiencies determination:** CSA F appears to operate efficiently and to provide adequate fire protection service to the service area. No major changes in management are needed at this time, but maintaining the volunteer firefighter force should be a priority.
9. **Local accountability determination:** The members of the Sutter County Board of Supervisors oversee CSA F. The service area operations appear to be consistent with the law. County staff and CSA residents can bring concerns and issues to the Board at the weekly meetings. The public can also contact the Board members and the Fire Chief via the Internet on the Sutter County homepage. Other opportunities for accountability include suggestion forms, complaint forms, and evaluation forms for residents as well as peer evaluations for firefighters.

### **County Service Area "G"**

1. **Growth and population projections for the affected area determination:** The City's population is likely to substantially increase between the present time and buildout in 2025. As the service area's population increases, there would be an increased demand for emergency services, including staffing, facilities, and equipment needs.
2. **Infrastructure needs or deficiencies determination:** The existing infrastructure of CSA G is adequate to handle the current fire protection service needs of the service area. Anticipating continued population growth, the City has planned for improvements to existing facilities and the construction on new ones. Current plans include moving Fire Station 4 outside of the City limits to the Southeast corner of Franklin and Ohleyer Road.

3. **Financing constraints and opportunities determination:** CSA G has sufficient revenues to enable it to provide fire protection services to the City of Yuba and surrounding area at the existing level of service.
4. **Cost avoidance opportunities determination:** CSA G avoids costs of operations, labor, and maintenance by contracting through the City of Yuba City for fire protection services.
5. **Opportunities for rate restructuring determination:** Under the terms of the existing CSA G contract, Sutter County collects property taxes and developer impact fees from the service area and distributes a portion of this revenue to Yuba City. The City is in the process of conducting a fee study for areas within its city limits/SOI, and is considering establishing a Mello Roos Community Facilities District (CFD) in the CSA G service area. Establishment of revised developer impact fees based on the recommendations on the City's fee study, within the service area, would necessitate County approval.
6. **Opportunities for shared facilities determination:** CSA G is already taking advantage of shared facilities, since the City, which provides fire suppression services to CSA G, now uses facilities once used only by the County in the former Walton District.
7. **Government structure options determinations:** The current government structure provides efficient public services within the service area. The creation of CSA G enabled the Yuba City Fire Department to extend its services beyond the City limits and into the former Walton Fire District jurisdiction.
8. **Evaluation of management efficiencies determination:** CSA G appears to operate efficiently and to provide adequate fire protection service to the service area. No major changes in management are needed at this time, but continued population growth in the City may prompt future adjustments to meet changing needs.
9. **Local accountability determination:** The Sutter County Board of Supervisors is responsible for CSA G. CSA residents can bring concerns and issues to the Board of Supervisors at their regularly scheduled meetings. Other opportunities for accountability include suggestion forms, complaint forms, and evaluation forms for residents as well as peer evaluations for firefighters.

Section 4. In accordance with Government Code Section 56425(e), LAFCO makes the following written determinations with regard to the spheres of influence for the Sutter Community Service District, Rio Ramaza Community Service District, and County Service Areas "C", "D", "F", "G".

**Sutter Community Services District**

- a. The present and planned land uses in the area, including agricultural and open space lands.

*The Town of Sutter is primarily built out by single-family residential housing, although some agricultural and open space uses do exist within the area that Sutter CSD currently serves. Beyond Sutter CSD, but within the SOI, land uses are predominantly agricultural.*

- b. The present and probable need for public facilities and services in the area.

*The Town of Sutter is currently built out, and the Town has a present and future need for sewer services. The provision of new sewer services would be essential in providing future water connections.*

- c. The present capacity of public facilities and adequacy of public services that the agency provides or is authorized to provide.

*Sutter Community Service District has a reliable long-term water source and continues to take steps to ensure an adequate supply of quality potable water.*

- d. The existence of any social or economic communities of interest in the area if the commission determines that they are relevant to the agency.

*There are no relevant social or economic communities of interest in the Sutter CSD planning area.*

**Rio Ramaza Community Service District**

- a. The present and planned land uses in the area, including agricultural and open space lands.

*Current land use in the Rio Ramaza Community Service District is a mobile home community. Future use is as an expansion of the Sacramento International Airport.*

- b. The present and probable need for public facilities and services in the area.

*There is no need for public facilities and services in the area beyond what already exists since the district is winding down.*

- c. The present capacity of public facilities and adequacy of public services that the agency provides or is authorized to provide.

*The capacity and adequacy of the sewage facilities are sufficient for the current population.*

- d. The existence of any social or economic communities of interest in the area if the commission determines that they are relevant to the agency.

*There are no relevant social or economic communities of interest in the Rio Ramaza CSD planning area.*

**County Service Area “C”**

- a. The present and planned land uses in the area, including agricultural and open space lands.

*Current land uses in CSA C are primarily agricultural. Residential growth will be limited unless major changes in land use designations occur. This scenario is unlikely as the County General Plan sets forth priorities to maintain these lands in agricultural production.*

- b. The present and probable need for public facilities and services in the area.

*CSA C replaces equipment according to the collective apparatus (rolling stock) replacement schedule. While current needs are being met, fire facilities and services may need to be increased if residential land uses increase.*

- c. The present capacity of public facilities and adequacy of public services that the agency provides or is authorized to provide.

*There are some facilities and equipment that need updating in CSA C. The apparatus replacement schedule for the County shows some equipment overdue for replacement. As revenue becomes available, the County should take steps to ensure that equipment and facilities are updated as needed to provide the highest quality of service to CSA C residents. Due to the rural nature of CSA C, it is infeasible to install fire hydrants that would improve ISO ratings.*

- d. The existence of any social or economic communities of interest in the area if the commission determines that they are relevant to the agency.

*There are no relevant social or economic communities of interest in County Service Area C planning area.*

### **County Service Area "D"**

- a. The present and planned land uses in the area, including agricultural and open space lands.

*Current land uses in CSA D are primarily agricultural. Residential growth will be limited unless major changes in land use designations occur. Advisory Measure M calls for a mixed-use development of 7,500 acres along State Route 99 with up to 2,900 acres of residential, 3,600 acres of commercial/industrial, and 1,000 acres of open space and public land uses. If the project receives County approval, this would result in significant population increases in the service area and would require appropriate measures to update CSD D to accommodate this new growth. A Specific Plan, including a plan for fire services, would need to be completed.*

- b. The present and probable need for public facilities and services in the area.

*While current needs are being met, fire facilities and services would need to be substantially updated and increased if Measure M is implemented.*

- c. The present capacity of public facilities and adequacy of public services that the agency provides or is authorized to provide.

*The apparatus replacement schedule for the County shows some equipment overdue for replacement. As revenues become available, the County should take steps to ensure that equipment and facilities are updated as needed to provide the highest quality of service to CSA D residents. Due to the rural nature of CSA D, it is infeasible to install fire hydrants that would improve ISO ratings.*

- d. The existence of any social or economic communities of interest in the area if the commission determines that they are relevant to the agency.

*There are no relevant social or economic communities of interest in County Service Area D planning area.*

**County Service Area “F”**

- a. The present and planned land uses in the area, including agricultural and open space lands.

*Current land uses in CSA F outside of the Live Oak city limits include primarily agricultural use and some open space and residential. While land uses within the City limits are mostly urban and suburban. The County General Plan sets forth priorities to maintain the lands outside of the city limits in agricultural production and it is expected that urban and suburban uses will expand as residential and commercial development increase within the City.*

- b. The present and probable need for public facilities and services in the area.

*While current needs are being met, fire facilities and services may need to be increased as urban and suburban uses continue to expand in the City.*

- c. The present capacity of public facilities and adequacy of public services that the agency provides or is authorized to provide.

*There are some facilities and equipment that need updating in CSA F. The apparatus replacement schedule shows some equipment overdue for replacement. As funds become available, the County should take steps to ensure that equipment and facilities are updated as needed to provide the highest quality of service to CSA F residents. Due to the rural nature of most of CSA F, it is infeasible to install fire hydrants that would improve ISO ratings.*

- d. The existence of any social or economic communities of interest in the area if the commission determines that they are relevant to the agency.

*There are no relevant social or economic communities of interest in County Service Area F planning area except for the inclusion of the City of Live Oak within the service area.*

**County Service Area “G”**

- a. The present and planned land uses in the area, including agricultural and open space lands.

*CSA G is adjacent to urban and suburban uses, which is the City of Yuba City. These areas are generally developed with residential uses although*

*some small portions of land have agricultural uses occurring on them. Land adjacent to CSA G to the north, west, and south are primarily developed*

*agriculturally with some residential home sites scattered throughout the area. Due to the City and County General Plan policies directing growth to the west of the City, the unincorporated Yuba City SOI may see continued residential development within the next decade or longer depending on growth rates and development of infrastructure.*

- b. The present and probable need for public facilities and services in the area.

*Current needs are being met, but public facilities and services will likely need to be updated as growth in Yuba City increases.*

- c. The present capacity of public facilities and adequacy of public services that the agency provides or is authorized to provide.

*Facilities are currently adequate to serve the needs of the residents but some upgrading of facilities and equipment is likely in order to accommodate growth. The City of Yuba City's Capital Improvement Program identifies that land acquisition and construction of a new fire station in the southwest area of the City will take place within the next five years.*

- d. The existence of any social or economic communities of interest in the area if the commission determines that they are relevant to the agency.

*There are no relevant social or economic communities of interest in County Service Area G planning area except for the inclusion of the City of Yuba City within the service area.*

Section 5. The Commission determines the existing boundaries of the sphere of influence for the Sutter Community Service District, Rio Ramaza Community Service District, and County Service Areas "C", "D", "F", and "G" are adequate to serve the districts' needs and therefore re-adopts the existing spheres of influence boundaries as shown in the municipal service review for each district.

Passed and adopted this 24<sup>th</sup> day of August 2006, by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAIN:

---

CHAIRPERSON

ATTEST:

---

LARRY T. COMBS  
EXECUTIVE OFFICER